UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,214	01/17/2006	Jonathan Lightner	7896-71303-07	5169
⁷⁴⁰⁵¹ Klarquist Spark	7590 04/18/200 man, LLP	EXAMINER		
121 ŚW Salmor	ı St., Floor 16	MCELWAIN, ELIZABETH F		
Portland, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1638	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,214	LIGHTNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Elizabeth F. McElwain	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 F</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-11 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration. or election requirement. ner. cepted or b) □ objected to by the less drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/06;7/27/06;4/4/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-8, in the reply filed on February 4, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 9-11 are withdrawn, as drawn to a non-elected invention.

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 6, and claims 2-5, 7 and 8 dependent thereon, are indefinite in the recitation of "high oil phenotype relative to control plants" and "altered oil content phenotype relative to control plants", given that it is unclear what is encompassed by "control plant" and the specification fails to set forth the metes and bounds of this term.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1638

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al (US PGPub 2002/0078475 in IDS) taken with Peyret et al (WO 95/20046 in IDS).
- 8. The claims are drawn to a transgenic plant comprising a plant transformation vector that is comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes or is complementary to a sequence that encodes a aconitase polypeptide comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2 or an ortholog thereof whereby the transgenic plant has a high oil phenotype relative to control plants.
- 9. Li et al teach optimizing plants for seed oil production by transforming a plant with a gene encoding an enzyme in the beta-oxidation pathway, including aconitase (see paragraphs 16-17 of the Detailed Description, for example).
- 10. Li et al do not specifically teach an isolated gene encoding aconitase activity.
- 11. Peyret et al teach a nucleic acid sequence encoding a aconitase having 87% sequence similarity to a nucleic acid encoding SEQ ID NO: 2.

Art Unit: 1638

12. Given the recognition of those of ordinary skill in the art of the desirability of producing

a transgenic plant having a high oil phenotype by transforming a plant with a gene encoding an

enzyme in the beta-oxidation pathway, such as aconitase, as taught by Li et al, it would have

been obvious to use the method of Li et al and to modify it by substituting the aconitase coding

sequence taught by Peyret et al, which would be considered an ortholog of the aconitase of SEQ

ID NO: 2. Thus the claimed invention would have been prima facie obvious as a whole to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time it was made, especially in the absence of evidence to the

contrary.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth F. McElwain whose telephone number is (571) 272-0802. The examiner can normally be reached on increased flex time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached on (571) 272-0975. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1638

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

EFM

/Elizabeth F. McElwain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638